## 1. What was most interesting to you in reviewing these resources?

One of the most interesting aspects of Johnson's research approach is how she manages to maintain a positive and productive attitude when dealing with issues such as marine habitat destruction and climate change. Often, environmental justice is a topic which can spark vitriolic and angry rhetoric for obvious reasons. However, the anger produced from these topics is not productive and only damages the rhetoric around the cause. By maintaining a positive attitude, the general public will adapt easier to your rhetoric, and the cause of environmental justice can be furthered.

## 2. What did you learn from these resources about ocean conservation?

Ocean conservation is both a global and local cause. Often, ocean conservation is described as a massive undertaking and global effort which it most definitely is, however there is a local aspect that is often understated in its importance. Fishing communities and island nations can assist in conservation through environmentally sustainable fishing practices and through sharing knowledge on localized oceanic ecosystems.

## 3. What new questions do you have after reviewing these resources?

How can we apply the methods Johnson uses in her ocean conservation efforts to other conservation efforts? How can we share ideas with the general public without using negative "prevent-based" messaging and will these messages be understood effectively?

## 4. What do these resources tell you about the types of people that do science?

Anybody with curiosity and a cause is a scientist. If you see a thing in your life that sparks your interest and you wish to learn and develop theories around that thing, you are a scientist. You don't need a degree or an academic endorsement to do science; just a drive to see and realize change in the world.