

1. Shouldn't scientists and doctors be reprimanded for violating the privacy of Henrietta Lacks? Ethically, scientists violated the rights of Henrietta Lacks by taking a sample of her tissue without consent. Even though this sample pushed the advancement of cancer research, this still doesn't make it right.
2. What if scientists didn't take samples from Henrietta Lacks? Despite the violation of informed consent, Henrietta cells were proven to be important for medical advancement. Though, at what cost should scientists and doctors dismiss the rights of someone who is dead? While failing to compensate or make this issue right with the family.
3. Could this situation be classified as racism? Yes, in this case Lacks was admitted to one of the only hospitals that serviced black people. With this, the article shares that scientists published her medical records without the consent of Henrietta or her family.
4. How should this situation change the outcome for future medical research? Scientists and doctors need to re-evaluate the way they collect research as a whole. This includes holding each other accountable regarding the ethics of participants. With this, it will reduce future unethical situations, while protecting the rights of the individual.
5. Could experiments like the Stanford Prison and Henrietta's cells be compared? Yes, because just like Henrietta, participants of the Stanford Prison didn't give consent to be put through as far as the treatment of the guards. Making both situations violations of informed consent.